Integrating WASH/Hygiene interventions into immunization programmes: WaterAid's Experiences





Dr Om Prasad Gautam, PhD, MPH, MA Senior WASH Manager – Hygiene 26/03/2019







Global WASH context

1 in 3 without basic sanitation (2.37 billion people)



1 in 10 without safe water (844 million people)

Household/Community



19% (13-49%) of people worldwide wash their hands with soap after defecation

Healthcare settings



39% of health care workers wash their hands with soap at all key moments

School settings



Only 53% of schools have handwashing facilities with soap

Why should we care about WASH/hygiene?

Water-Born Diseases



cholera, typhoid,
amoebic and
bacillary dysentery

22-

Water-Washed

Diseases

•scables, • trachoma Water-Based Diseases

Schistosomiasis

Water- Related Insect-Vector Diseases

•filariasis, malaria

Dracunculiasis
 Orienocerclasia,
 trypanosomiasis
 Diarnopointasis
 Diarnopointasis
 Diarnopointasis

Undernutrition Foodborne infection Environmental Enteropathy Typhoid





An integrated solution: what does this mean in practice?

WHO recommends more holistic approach...





Typhoid & Safe Water, Sanitation, & Hygiene

Safe water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) interventions are critical to preventing the spread of typhoid. Typhoid spread via the fecal-oral route, because the bacteria can pass into people's mouths through food, water, hands, or

→ Global push for adopting new diarrhoea vaccines but is it a 'Silver bullet'?





→ Rotavirus vaccine efficacy in low income settings (at 43-47% while developed countries around 90%) and nature of diseases



Training or education only using health, germs/diseases, death related poster, leaflets and messaging are not working

Hygiene integration through immunization: objectives

<u>Aim</u>: to demonstrate, with the view to nationwide scale-up, the promotion of safe hygiene practices through the routine immunization (vaccination) programme in four districts of Nepal

Key points to establish through:

- Is effective in changing behaviours?
- Benefits to immunisation programme?
- Is cost effective and feasible for scale-up?
- Enhances the capacity of FCHVs/HW?

Districts	<1yrs population	Health staffs	FCHVs
Jajarkot	4,217	216	270
Bardiya	10,700	219	841
Nawalparasi	15,537	474	713
Myagdi	4,831	256	369
Total	35,285	1,165	2,193



Pathways for hygiene behaviour change integration-Nepal

Behaviour Centred Design approach

Α	Assess – <u>Scoping Study</u> on MoH Needs and Capacities & agreements	2012
В	Build – Formative research to identify factors / enablers for behavior change	2014
С	Create – New models package development for promotion and sustainability	2015
D	Deliver – Implementation	2016
E	Evaluate <u>effectiveness</u> – Monitoring	2015-17



Prioritised hygiene behaviours:

- ✓ Exclusive breastfeeding
- ✓ Food Hygiene
- ✓ Handwashing with soap
- ✓ HHs Water and milk treatment
- Hygienic use of toilet; child faeces disposal

Hygiene integration into routine immunization: an example



WaterAid

Innovation and creativity in promotion tools / approaches

- Campaign desire: to be an "ideal family"
- Used emotional drivers (Disgust, Nurture, Affiliation & Status) and change settings to change behaviour
- **Delivery model**: Implemented through Gov's routine immunization system
- Components: Games (Child life, wheel of hygiene, hot potato games), Storytelling, Folk song, pile sorting, Commitment, Illustration demonstration, Visual 'reminders', public 'reward' etc.
- Implementation Period: One year (pilot), 3 year retention











Is effective in changing behaviours and improving immunization?

All key hygiene behaviours improved – (primary outcomes)

Immunisation coverage increased and drop-out reduced (secondary outcomes)





Source: Baseline and Endline. Note: randomly selected mothers' key hygiene behaviours were observed

Strength, lessons and next step?

Lessons:

- Immunisation: unique opportunity for WASH/hygiene integration
- Hygiene integration strengthen health system: improves behaviour, immunization coverage, reduce drop-out and vaccine wastage
- Additional cost per child: US\$ 8 (in pilot), transition to scale (US\$4) and scale-up US\$1.75

Strength for success:

- Full government ownership (MoH). Government led process, WA as technical support to test innovation with planned transition for scale up
- Implemented through the sustained routine mechanism
- Focused to strengthen health system and change on behaviour using rather than raising awareness
- Used behaviour centric approaches and creative process to design and implement novel intervention
- Intervention has high level of reach / compliance and strong supervision





