## Enteric Fever in India – A Retrospective Review of Existing Hospital Based Data

**Surveillance for Enteric Fever in Asia Project (SEAP)** 

Dr Dipika Sur, MD

Consultant, THSTI

## **Overview and Objectives**

- India lacks a nationally representative database on enteric fever extensive under-reporting
- Community based studies show 2-5/1000 person years. Highest in children < 15 yrs</li>
- Morbidity and mortality of intestinal perforation not linked to enteric fever
- Objectives:
  - To estimate the burden of hospitalized (severe) enteric fever cases in India
  - Inform policy makers on development of effective policies for prevention and control
  - Promote new vaccine development and utilization

## Methods

- Study period: 2014-2015
- Retrospective data collection
- Case Definitions
  - Laboratory-confirmed case: Patient with a positive blood culture for Salmonella Typhi or Paratyphi
  - Surgical case: Patient with intestinal perforation, regardless of culture status
- Data sources
  - Electronic laboratory data
    - Organism, demographics
  - Inpatient charts
    - Clinical manifestations, complications, antimicrobial resistance
  - Intestinal perforation surgical charts

## **Study Hospitals**

# 5 hospitals situated across different regions of India:

- 1. Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences – Chandigarh
- 2. Medanta Hospital Gurgaon
- 3. Apollo Hospital Kolkata
- 4. Christian Medical College Vellore
- 5. Kasturba Medical College, Manipal University – Manipal



## **Laboratory-Confirmed Cases**

- Hospitals performed 267,536 total blood cultures
  - 1418 positive for enteric fever (0.53%)
    - 1147 positive for S. Typhi (81%)
    - 271 positive for S. Paratyphi (19%)

	<i>S.</i> Typhi n=1147 (%)	<i>S.</i> Paratyphi n=271 (%)
<u>Gender</u>		
Female	529/1114 (47)	112/265 (42)
Male	585/1114 (53)	153/265 (58)
<u>Age, median (IQR)</u>	24 (14-28)	24 (18-30)
<b>Department</b>		
Inpatient*	502 (44)	95 (35)
Outpatient	645 (56)	176 (65)

### Distribution of Age in Years, 2014-2015, by Organism (n=1382)



### Enteric Fever Cases over time, 2014-2015, by Hospital (n=1418)



### **Clinical Features of Laboratory-Confirmed Inpatients**

- Of 597 laboratory-confirmed inpatients, 429 charts were abstracted (72%)
  - 362 positive for *S.* Typhi (84%)
  - 67 positive for S. Paratyphi (16%)

	<i>S.</i> Typhi n=362 (%)	<i>S.</i> Paratyphi n=67 (%)
Fever	351 (97)	67 (100)
Nausea/vomiting**	188 (52)	25 (37)
Weakness/malaise	133 (34)	31 (46)
Headache	123 (34)	27 (40)
Abdominal pain	116 (32)	20 (30)
Diarrhea**	115 (32)	11 (16)
Cough/difficulty in breathing	107 (30)	18 (27)
Skin rash/rose spots*	28 (8)	2 (3)
Decreased consciousness	15 (4)	3 (4)
Blood in stool*	15 (4)	0 (0)
Constipation	11 (3)	2 (3)

### **Antimicrobial Resistance of Laboratory-Confirmed Inpatients** by Organism, 2014-2015



### Antimicrobial Resistance of Enteric Fever Cases by Year, 2014-2015



## **Complications of Laboratory-Confirmed Inpatients**

	All cases n=429 (%)
Hepatitis	26 (6)
Encephalopathy	11 (3)
Renal impairment	10 (2)
Shock	7 (2)
GI bleeding	6 (1)
Death	5 (1)
Intestinal perforation	3 (0.7)
Myocarditis	1 (0.2)
Other complications	31 (7)

### **Intestinal Perforations**

- Abstracted 94 intestinal perforation charts
  - 13 with provisional or confirmed diagnosis of enteric fever (13.8%); 4 culture confirmed (30.8%)

	Provisional or Confirmed Diagnosis of Enteric Fever, n=13 (%)
<u>Sex, n (%)</u>	
Male	11 (85)
Female	2 (15)
Median Age (IQR)	24 (18-32)
Symptoms, n (%)	
Fever	10 (77)
Abdominal Pain	10 (77)
Vomit	7 (54)
General Weakness	6 (46)
Constipation	5 (38)
Diarrhea	3 (23)
Prior antibiotics, n (%)	9 (69)
Median Days Hospitalized (IQR)	10 (8-13)
Complications, n (%)	
Wound infection	3/11 (27)
Pulmonary Complications	2/11 (18)
Other	3/11 (27)
Location of Perforation	
Ileal	11/11 (100)
Final Outcome, n (%)	
Discharged	12 (92)
Death	1 (8)

## **Discussion**

- Corporate hospitals with high profile clientele, have also identified large number of enteric fever cases
- Age group most affected 2 spikes < 10 yrs and 15-30 yrs
- Samples collected from sites of intestinal perforations less specificity. Duodenal aspirates are preferred – which are usually not done.
- Antibiotic sensitivity ceftriaxone and azithromycin (?) across the country
- Temporal distribution of cases at Medanta hospital in 2014 indicates increased numbers from community
- This study will give an insight of magnitude of severe cases.
  Community based surveillance will be needed for assessing total burden of disease – for making policy decisions

## Acknowledgements

#### <u>THSTI</u>

- Principal Investigator Prof. N.K.Ganguly
- Consultant Dr. Dipika Sur
- Country Co-ordinator Dr. Bratati Mukhopadhyay
- Swati Verma
- Nisha Arora
- Radhesh Notiyal
- Prity Kapoor

#### Sabin Vaccine Institute

- Denise Garrett
- Caitlin Barkume

### BILL& MELINDA GATES foundation

#### Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences

- Pallab Ray
- Vikas Gautam

#### Medanta Hospital

- Sharmila Sengupta
- Amarjeet Khan

#### **Christian Medical College**

Balaji Veeraraghavan

#### Apollo Hospital

- Ujjwayini Khan
- Soma Dutta

#### Kasturba Medical College, Manipal University

- Vandana KE
- Yasha Mukim

